

APPENDIX B – CRW1902

Consultation Response Summary

	<b>Objection/Comments</b>	<b>Comments from Director of Highways Transport &amp; Planning</b>
	<p>Resident of Park Way</p> <p>They live at the end of Park Way close to the junction of Chaucer Road. At present two cars can park outside number 111 and 113 Park Way, with the Highway Code 243 being adhered to by parking 10metres away from a junction there should be no requirement to put in place any double yellow lines at this end of the road to lose another valuable parking space. They work shifts in the emergency services and reducing parking by as little as one space will likely cause them to have to walk extended distances with my work bags after long day and night shifts. The residents at this end of Park Way already have difficulty parking near their houses due to households having several cars and family and partners of people visiting parents and grandparents regularly. They believe there to never have been an accident at the junction of Chaucer Road and Park Way and if double yellow lines were put in place this would be increasing the distance from 12metres at present to at least 15metres by the appearance of the proposed plans on sheet 8 and 9 which is more than the highway codes 10metre rule.</p> <p>They believe this should be considered as an unnecessary proposal for this end of Park Way.</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate parking near to the junction of Park Way and Chaucer Road making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out.</p> <p>Further parking measures are to be considered as part of the Crawley Road Space Audit (RSA) which is currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p> <p>However, upon consideration, the removal of the proposal to introduce a prohibition of waiting at the junction of Park Way and Chaucer Road will be provided to meet the request of the resident.</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

	<p>3 Residents of Wordsworth Close</p> <p>They do not think there is any need for the action because commuter parking is not a concern. And of course being a cul de sac, the issue of pedestrians crossing the road is not relevant. The proposal is not in keeping with the aesthetic of our close and the introduction of sign and yellow lines would be an eyesore and an unnecessary cost.</p> <p>It would also cause problems for services visiting residents such as Carers, GP home visits, Builders and Delivery people.</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking in Byron Close making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out and causing obstruction to traffic movement.</p> <p>The layout of Wordsworth Close and road space available is similar to that of Byron Close with both roads' in proximity to Three Bridges train station. The proposed parking restrictions for Wordsworth Close were planned in order to deal with any displacement commuter parking caused by the introduction of the restrictions in Byron Close by anticipating it before it happened.</p> <p>Further parking measures are to be considered as part of the Crawley Road Space Audit (RSA) which is currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p> <p>However, upon consideration, the removal of the proposal to introduce a prohibition of waiting in Wordsworth Close will be provided to meet the request of the resident.</p>
	<p>Resident of Byron Close</p> <p>They support the need for double yellow lines but we also need parking restrictions in keeping with the surrounding area to ensure commuters do not block up the close all day.</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking in Byron Close making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out and causing obstruction to traffic movement.</p> <p>A new TRO for parking restrictions will ease the access, safety &amp; visibility problems in the Close.</p> <p>Further parking measures are to be considered as part of the Crawley Road Space Audit (RSA) which is</p>

APPENDIX B – CRW1902

Consultation Response Summary

		<p>currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p>
	<p>Resident of Burns Road</p> <p>It will mean even more cars parked in this street. It is already difficult to get into their driveway and there are others in the road having the same problem. Our road is often used as a cut through from Milton Road to Chaucer Road. We have a lot of young children here and a while ago a cat got run over by someone speeding- they didn't stop although the animal had a broken hip - just imagine if this had been a toddler. They would like to see a limit of 20 miles an hour speed limit.</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking in Byron Close and Park Way posing difficulties for traffic movements and for pedestrians using the crossing points at these locations.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that some parking displacement may result, the proposed restrictions aim to reinforce Highway Code Rule 243 on appropriate parking. Parking capacity will still exist in the area and there is reasonable alternative parking in safer locations.</p> <p>A change of speed limit falls outside the scope of this TRO scheme. Speeding and anti-social driving matters are within the jurisdiction of Sussex Police and can be reported via <a href="http://www.operationcrackdown.org/">http://www.operationcrackdown.org/</a></p>
	<p>Resident of Park Way</p> <p>In Park Way there are some parking bays outside house numbers 21 to 27. During the working week (particulary before lockdown), it was an almost daily nightmare to park outside their own house due to commuters and holiday makers not wanting to pay for parking at the station or airport, so they park outside our homes. Cars have been known at times to be left there for weeks on end.</p> <p>Their concern is that putting the proposed double yellow lines down</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate parking and obstructive parking on this section of Park Way making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out and causing obstruction to traffic movement.</p> <p>The proposal is a very small additional restriction and was requested on safety grounds by other residents in this section of Park Way whose access is regularly blocked by large vehicles parking on the bend in the cul-de-sac.</p> <p>Further parking measures, including</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

<p>will exacerbate this issue even further for us, moving those who had previously parked on the other roads to be vying with each other for the handful of spaces in their road.</p> <p>They are aware that neighbouring properties have issues at times when trying to exit their drive, as often there can be 6 cars parked badly outside, but they object to the extent of the proposed double yellow lining, as residents will lose quite a few spaces.</p> <p>They have a shared drive and no off road parking so will be drastically affected by this suggested change and loss of bays. They only have one vehicle each, and are always very considerate of our neighbours and their driveways.</p> <p>They have contacted the Council about this previously as personally they would rather pay for a yearly permit to park if it meant they would have a stress-free time parking outside my own home, without arguments with people who park appallingly, who don't even live in the area, who drive up their drive and onto my front garden, with no care for the damage they do in their pursuit to park for free. It would also be ideal if some proper bay lines are painted in the area that is left if you do go ahead with the plans, for those who appear to have an inability to park with care, so that others can park too.</p> <p>They would like the yellow lines to be reduced so they don't lose numerous spaces, paint proper bay lines in the remaining space and then make these bays for residents who hold permits only, and their short term visitors</p>	<p>resident permit parking in dedicated marked bays, are to be considered as part of the Crawley Road Space Audit (RSA) which is currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p>
---	--

## Consultation Response Summary

	<p>please. They know it is feasible as it was introduced to The Link in West Green.</p>	
	<p>Resident of Park Way</p> <p>Most houses have 2 cars per family. We can accommodate 2 cars on our drive way, most other houses cannot. Therefore there would be no parking for them if yellow lines are deployed in parkway. Plus we are trying to save the environment, getting every one to convert their front gardens to car spaces is wrong, it will become a concrete jungle and will cause flooding. Plus, what happens if we have visitors there will be nowhere for them to park. They are happy with the current parking arrangements. This will only lead to more unhappiness and fines.</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate parking making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out and causing obstruction to traffic movement.</p> <p>Further parking measures are to be considered as part of the Crawley Road Space Audit (RSA) which is currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p> <p>However, upon consideration, the removal of the proposal to introduce a prohibition of waiting at the junction of Park Way and Chaucer Road will be provided to meet the request of the resident.</p>
	<p>Resident of Wordsworth Close</p> <p>There is only a small problem with parking in the area. Certainly not enough to warrant putting in lots of double yellow lines, in my view.</p> <p>If lots of lines go in, asks where else do all the cars. Local residents use the street for parking.</p> <p>Putting lines in would cost of lot of money and create future maintenance costs for the hard pressed tax payers of Crawley. Local community charge bills always seem to go up by more than the rate of inflation, so getting the council to get their finances under stricter control and reduce future costs seems the way</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking in Byron Close making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out and causing obstruction to traffic movement.</p> <p>The layout of Wordsworth Close and road space available is similar to that of Byron Close with both locations in proximity to Three Bridges train station. The proposed parking restrictions for Wordsworth Close were planned in order to deal with any displacement commuter parking caused by the introduction of the restrictions in Byron Close by anticipating it before it happened.</p> <p>Further parking measures are to be considered as part of the Crawley</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

	forward to me.	<p>Road Space Audit (RSA) which is currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p> <p>However, upon consideration, the removal of the proposal to introduce a prohibition of waiting in Wordsworth Close will be provided to meet the request of the resident.</p>
	<p>Resident of Wordsworth Close</p> <p>Questions why this is necessary. On purely aesthetic grounds it will be a horrendous sight in what is a quiet, non thoroughfare, attractive, residential area. On a practical note they will have absolutely nowhere to park. Others will also be affected to a lesser degree. They fail to understand why the proposal is necessary. In over 20 years they have not seen one incident where a driveway has been obstructed by a non residents car. Apart from commuter parking they are not aware of any major traffic concerns apart from large vehicles not being able to easily get around the top end curve.</p>	<p>Residents have reported inconsiderate and obstructive parking in Byron Close making it difficult for local drivers and other road users to see oncoming traffic when pulling out and causing obstruction to traffic movement.</p> <p>The layout of Wordsworth Close and road space available is similar to that of Byron Close with both locations' in proximity to Three Bridges train station. The proposed parking restrictions for Wordsworth Close were planned in order to deal with any displacement commuter parking caused by the introduction of the restrictions in Byron Close by anticipating it before it happened.</p> <p>Further parking measures are to be considered as part of the Crawley Road Space Audit (RSA) which is currently studying the areas of future need for parking provision. The RSA will consider parking improvements across the whole town, rather than just displacing problem parking from one road to the next.</p> <p>However, upon consideration, the removal of the proposal to introduce a prohibition of waiting in Wordsworth Close will be provided to meet the request of the resident.</p>